



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,672	07/27/2006	Erhard Hoffmann	3744	8467
7590 Striker, Striker & Stenby 103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743			EXAMINER AKANBIL ISIAKA O	
			ART UNIT 2886	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/22/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/587,672

**Applicant(s)**

ERHARD HOFFMANN

**Examiner**

ISIAKA O. AKANBI

**Art Unit**

2886

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ISIAKA O. AKANBI.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) I. Zborovsky.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 13-15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Miyahara (5,055,666).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed the limitation such as "means for producing marking on a predetermined location, in particular on the position of the second equipment unit which is positionable relative to the first equipment unit" and new claims 13-15. The examiner indicated to the applicant's representative that the limitation would be considered and further search will be required to determine the patentability of the new claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.